

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/811,238	MOFFA ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TARA MAYO-PINNOCK	3671	

All Participants:

(1) TARA MAYO-PINNOCK

(2) Jonathan M. Darcy

Date of Interview: 16 November 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☒ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The rejections of claim 21 under 35 USC §102(b) as anticipated by Brainerd et al. '563, and claims 22 and 23 under 35 USC §103(a) as unpatentable over Brainerd et al. '563 in view of Fernie et al. '285.

Claims discussed:

21-23

Prior art documents discussed:

Brainerd et al. '563, Fernie et al. '285

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to cancel claims 21 through 23 to obviate a rejection based upon anticipation by Fernie et al. '285 and place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Response to NF OA Entered

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:37 PM

/TARA MAYO-PINNOCK/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)